1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
3	RICHMOND DIVISION
4	
5	
6	GOLDEN BETHUNE-HILL, et al.
7	vs. : Civil Action No. : 3:14cv852
8	VIRGINIA STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS, : September 22, 2017
9	et al. :
10	
11	90VD-1999 9D1VGGD-199 00 9VD 90VD-1999 91-1
12	COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF THE CONFERENCE CALL
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. PAYNE
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15	APPEARANCES:
16	Kevin J. Hamilton, Esquire
17	Abha Khanna, Esquire Perkins Coie, LLP
18	1201 Third Avenue Suite 4800
19	Seattle, Washington 98101 Counsel for the plaintiffs
20	Trevor S. Cox, Esquire
21	Office of the Attorney General 202 North 9th Street
22	Richmond, Virginia 23219 Counsel for the defendants
23	
24	Peppy Peterson, RPR
25	Official Court Reporter United States District Court
25	United States District Court

<u>APPEARANCES:</u> (cont'g) Katherine L. McKnight, Esquire E. Mark Braden, Esquire Baker & Hostetler, LLP Washington Square Suite 1100 1050 Connecticut Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20036 Counsel for intervenor defendants

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Hello. This is Golden Bethune-Hill against Virginia State Board of Elections, et al., civil 3:14CV852. Who is here for whom starting with counsel for the plaintiffs, and when you speak, please give your name.

MR. HAMILTON: Good morning, Your Honor. It's Kevin Hamilton and Abha Khanna for the plaintiff.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. COX: Your Honor, for the defendant, this is Trevor Cox.

MS. McKNIGHT: Yes, Your Honor, good afternoon, Your Honor. This is Kate McKnight, and I have with me Mark Braden for defendant intervenors.

THE COURT: All right, thank you. Thank you for being available. I have received this joint proposed agenda for final pretrial conference, and, administratively, I'm a little confused about it. Item two says any motions in limine that the Court would like to discuss and potentially resolve before trial.

The order that was entered in the case requires that the motions in limine to have been filed by now, but there are no motions in limine, so I don't understand what item two is. Can somebody help me with that?

MS. McKNIGHT: Your Honor, this is Kate McKnight with

the defendant intervenors, and pardon us if this is confusion on the parties' part, but we had understood the Court's order to read that motions in limine would be due this coming Monday.

THE COURT: Why would that be so, because they were due so they would be ripe, that is fully briefed and completed by the 25th which is Monday.

MS. McKNIGHT: Right, Your Honor. We were trying to comply with the court order that noted that any motions in limine would be due by Monday, the 25th.

THE COURT: Where does it say that? Maybe I'm misreading it.

MS. McKNIGHT: Pardon me, Your Honor. I do not have that order in front of me right now. I believe it was an order dated July 13th.

THE COURT: Let me look.

MS. McKNIGHT: I'd ask plaintiffs' counsel or defendants' counsel to step in if they had a different understanding of the due date.

MR. HAMILTON: This is Mr. Hamilton. I don't know. We don't intend to file any motions in limine, so I haven't studied what the deadline is for the motions, so I apologize for not having that.

THE COURT: I've got the order right here. It's docket number 173, paragraph 13, page six. It says, "Motions in limine, if any, shall be filed so that the briefing is

completed by September 25th and shall be considered at the pretrial conference." So that briefing contemplates an opening brief, a response brief, and a reply brief, and if you don't file them until the 25th, you can't comply with paragraph 13.

MS. McKNIGHT: Your Honor, we may be able to cut this conversation to the quick which is, you know, I just heard what plaintiffs said, and, to be frank, the defendant intervenors do not intend to file any motions in limine either. That was our reading of the order, so that's why we included it, to make sure it captured anything that would be filed, but it sounds like no parties, as long as defendants agree, intend to file any motions in limine on Monday.

MR. COX: This is Trevor Cox. Defendants also agree. We have no motions $in\ limine$ to file.

THE COURT: So there won't be any motions in limine to be considered. All right. Now, are you all going to propose, before the final pretrial conference, a schedule for -- I don't know what item number one means, schedule for trial considering final trial witness list. What does that mean? Is somebody going to eliminate witnesses, or are the lists of witnesses that we have now complete and you want to know, taking into account those lives that we have now, how the trial will proceed, or what do we want to address here?

MS. McKNIGHT: Yes, Your Honor. As I understood it, defendant intervenors understood it, the latter which is final

trial witnesses have been filed, and I believe there may be upwards of 17 witnesses. So I ask plaintiffs to correct my understanding if they have a different understanding, and we have three days set for trial. So we had not discussed filing a proposed trial schedule, though if that's what the Court would like, we can certainly do that.

THE COURT: It looks to me like, Mr. Hamilton, that she's roughly correct about the number of witnesses, but I haven't really counted them. You have filed how many?

MR. HAMILTON: Well, we have two experts, Your Honor, and then several fact witnesses, I think five or six delegates who are going to testify. The delegate testimony is going to be relatively brief. I don't anticipate they will be very long at all, and of the two experts. Jonathan Rodden from Stanford will be the one who will be testifying for the longer part. So the bulk of our case in chief is going to be two expert witnesses and six very rapid-succession delegates.

THE COURT: Palmer and Rodden are the experts; right?

MR. HAMILTON: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And then the other witnesses, one, two, three, four, five, six are all delegates. Now, how long do you anticipate the delegates' testimony to be each?

MR. HAMILTON: Probably from -- on the short end
20 minutes to, on the long end, 30, maybe 40. But that's
probably only Delegate McClellan. Several of these have never

testified before, but they're going -- the subject covered is relatively discrete and will happen very quickly. Delegate McClellan has already testified. We do not intend to have her, or anyone else, repeat the testimony from the first trial other than maybe to get a couple of quick highlights unless the Court would like us to walk it through again for the benefit of the new member of the panel that didn't hear the trial the first time.

THE COURT: I'll raise that with the other judges, and we'll deal with it at the final pretrial. How long do you expect Palmer to be, and how long do you expect Rodden to be?

MR. HAMILTON: Palmer, we think probably about two hours. The parties, again, Your Honor, like we did last time, have stipulated to the admission of all of the expert reports, and pursuant to the Court's order, those will be delivered to chambers prior to the commencement of the trial. I don't have the scheduling order in front of me, so I don't remember the exact dates.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. HAMILTON: Because of that, the Court will have had the opportunity to review the expert witness reports, and I'm hoping that can help us streamline. I certainly don't want to go through, in excruciating detail, the calculation of a Reock or other factor that we're presenting in the expert testimony, so we'll try to keep that relatively moving. But

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Max Palmer, Professor Palmer, we expect to be about two hours. THE COURT: How about Rodden? MR. HAMILTON: Rodden we expect to last about three including -- in both of those cases, that's including cross, but, obviously, I don't control the time that intervenors spend cross-examining these witnesses. THE COURT: I understand. I'm just trying to get a feel so we can talk about it further and decide a couple of things. Now, how about the defendants? Let me see. Your witness list is -- just a minute here. Is document number 188. You have, what, three experts it says? How long will Hofeller be? MR. BRADEN: This is Mark Braden. I would assume all the expert witnesses, their direct testimony would be a half an hour each. THE COURT: All right. And you have seven -- well, Morgan, is he testifying as a fact witness by virtue of the work he did as a demographer? MR. BRADEN: That is correct. He is the individual who sat at the computer with Jones and actually drew significant portions of the plan. So, yes, he's testifying as a fact witness. THE COURT: What about Loewen who testified in West

against Gilmore? You're just talking about --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BRADEN: It is our assumption, and I think we're very close, and the plaintiffs' counsel can inform me, but I think that's going to be stipulated to. I would not anticipate Dr. Loewen would be a witness in this case. I think his testimony is going to be stipulated to where he authenticates the report. THE COURT: All right, and then Jones, how long do you expect him to be? I would expect Jones to run two to three MR. BRADEN:

hours, and I would expect Morgan to run two to three hours.

THE COURT: How about the others? You've got Peace --

MR. BRADEN: I would expect that these -- much Yes. like the other delegates, they have a fairly narrow window of testimony on which they would be talking about. I would guess that their direct testimony would be 15 to 20 minutes each.

THE COURT: For all of them -- for each one of them.

MR. BRADEN: Yes. I expect each one, we could do the direct testimony in 15 to 20 minutes.

THE COURT: All right. I would like for you all to submit, to talk further about timing, but it looks to me like the plaintiffs' case is shorter than the defendants' case, and we have not addressed the defendants' rebuttal case. Have you thought about that, Mr. Hamilton, what your rebuttal case may consist of?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HAMILTON: I am, Your Honor. I think that -obviously it will depend on -- we won't be able to make a final decision until the end, but I would expect that it may be Professor Rodden responding to whatever points were made in the defendants' case, and then we may have a handful of delegates to respond to statements made by intervenor's witnesses. THE COURT: All right. Now, have you all -- in item three, have you resolved the outstanding objections to discovery designations, or will there actually be discovery designations that we need to actually rule on? MS. McKNIGHT: Your Honor, this is Kate McKnight for the defendant intervenors. The Court required that we meet and confer by yesterday, and we were able to do that with plaintiffs' counsel. We were able to make progress, significant progress, frankly, but I think there will remain some objections to deposition designations. THE COURT: All right. Somebody is in school or at home. MS. McKNIGHT: I'm sorry, Your Honor. You caught me at my daughter's soccer practice. THE COURT: I hope she's doing well. That's a risk I take when I call quick conference calls, isn't it, Ms. McKnight? MS. McKNIGHT: Yes, 3:30 would have been a lot

quieter for me, but I wanted to make sure everybody was on, so

pardon me, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's no problem. What I'd like for you to do, then, is if you have -- you are continuing to work on trying to resolve the objections; is that correct?

MS. McKNIGHT: That is correct. Frankly, we just need to finalize an agreement I believe we came to last night and yesterday, but that said, even if we come to agreement, I think some objections will still remain for your consideration.

THE COURT: As to the ones that remain, bring to the pretrial conference -- excuse me. Let me start again. When do you think you'll identify what it is that's left to be dealt with? When will you be finished with your process so you know what we're going to have to confront?

MS. McKNIGHT: Your Honor, we will be filing briefs on Monday. I believe your scheduling order requires -- the objections have already been filed, and briefs will be filed on Monday.

THE COURT: As to the remaining; is that right?

MS. McKNIGHT: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: When you file the briefs on Monday,

please attach to them -- and remember, you need to address -
take a copy to each judge's chambers -- a copy of the cover

page of the deposition so we know who the deponent is, then the

page or pages of the testimony that is being proffered, and

mark it, mark the page or pages with highlighting that

indicates the testimony being offered that is objected to, and in the margin, just note the basis for the objection such as 401, 403, et cetera, so we are highlighted to get quickly back to your brief on the topic. Do you all understand what I'm trying to communicate?

MR. HAMILTON: Yes, Your Honor.

MS. McKNIGHT: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Do you have other discovery designations beyond depositions?

MS. McKNIGHT: There are a few, but we don't have any objections pending towards those designations.

THE COURT: All right. What are miscellaneous trial administrative issues? It's been my experience in the past that those things strike like rattlesnakes out of the side of a trail, and I'm particularly, and I know Judge Keenan and Judge Allen are adverse to strikes of that sort. So what do you have in mind by putting in that general term?

MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor --

MS. McKNIGHT: Your Honor, this is Kate McKnight for defendant intervenors, and pardon me, Kevin, I don't mean to interrupt you. I think, at least for the defendant intervenor's part, we anticipated that that portion of the agenda would cover items such as if we had any questions about electronic use in the courtroom or types of copies, number of copies you'd want. I expected that to be just fairly benign

questions about admin procedures with trial proceedings.

anybody.

THE COURT: Mr. Hamilton, is that your view?

MR. HAMILTON: I have a more specific list that I can read the list of issues that we intended to raise; number one, whether we could do the technology walk-through in the courtroom on Friday, October 6th. Monday immediately preceding the trial is a holiday, so we were hoping that we would be able to do the walk-through in the afternoon on Friday. We've been speaking with Kathy Hancock.

THE COURT: The answer to that question is both sides can do it. You don't want to do it on Monday, so you can do it on Friday, October 6th, and you can do it at any time during that day. And you work it out so -- are you going to have a separate technology guru with you, Ms. McKnight, and the defendants, or are we going to deal with only one guru or what?

MS. McKNIGHT: Yes, Your Honor, we will have a few people with us who will be able to -- I think she would appreciate the label of technology guru, but she will be with us. She will be the one who will be working in the courtroom to determine what technology is needed.

THE COURT: You don't think she would appreciate it or she would appreciate it?

MS. McKNIGHT: I think she would appreciate it.

THE COURT: Okay, because I didn't want to insult

MS. McKNIGHT: Not at all, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You all both work with Ms. Hancock. I will reinforce with her that you will be doing that on October 6th and that you'll get everything -- you can get your equipment set up and leave it in there if you want to.

MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, the next item on my list was whether both sides would be allowed to store exhibits and attorney working materials on Friday in the courtroom or if there's a separate conference area for the parties available in that way.

THE COURT: Each of you are going to be given a room, and I, frankly, don't remember what I told Ms. Hancock about what, but there is a working room that is sort of an attorney conference room right off of the entrance to the courtroom, and then there's another room just down the hall from the elevators, and in the past, what the parties have done is kind of assessed how many people they have, what they're going to do, and decided on who needed which one based on their own requirements.

You can put -- we've had people put copy machines in there, and we've had people arrange to put telephones in there and all kinds of different machines, things that will help you with your case, but talk with Ms. Hancock about that and take a look, and I don't see any reason why you can't bring your exhibits in and have them ready. Is that what you want to do,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Hamilton, instead of having to do it on the morning of trial? MR. HAMILTON: That's exactly right, Your Honor. THE COURT: I think that makes sense. So exhibits, I don't know how many there are, but she'll work with you to work something out. You all contact her, and I'll be in touch with her about that. MR. HAMILTON: Thank you, Your Honor. The next item on my list was whether the parties would be allowed to have lunch brought into the courtroom during the trial; for example, preordering lunch and meeting somebody and being able to bring in and eat lunch in the conference room. THE COURT: You can eat in the conference room. Ιf you eat in the courtroom, Judge Hudson will shoot me. MR. HAMILTON: We wouldn't want that, Your Honor. THE COURT: You might not yet. MR. HAMILTON: Do we need an order to allow those lunches to be brought in? THE COURT: I will attend to that. MR. HAMILTON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: You all know that you need to submit your application to bring your electronics in by description, and whatever you want to bring in, you tell me, work with Ms. Hancock, get the form filled out, and I'll endorse it. MR. HAMILTON: Thank you, Your Honor. That was my

next item. Then in the first trial in Alexandria, the Court required the parties to buy bookshelves that were placed behind each judge's area. Is there a similar request this time around?

THE COURT: I don't know the answer to that. Let me ask the judges and get back to you. What did you buy? Did you buy it or rent it or what?

MR. HAMILTON: I believe we bought it, and we split the costs with opposing counsel.

THE COURT: Do you still have them?

MR. HAMILTON: I don't think so, no. I think they were from Costco. It wasn't a hugely expensive thing.

THE COURT: I'll let you know. I'll check with the judges and be back in touch with you.

MR. HAMILTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Then the last thing was whether we needed any kind of order or special permission form to bring oversized poster boards into the courtroom.

THE COURT: Not into the courtroom, but to get them in the courthouse, just make a list of what you are bringing and let me have it, and I'll attend to having -- if you'll work with Ms. Hancock on that, and -- just get a list. You don't need to bring them in here. Just bring a list of what they are and describe them as oversized poster boards and there are six of them or four or whatever, and she'll have a letter order

prepared to let them in without any fuss.

MR. HAMILTON: Perfect, Your Honor. Those are the items that we had planned to raise under item number four on the agenda.

THE COURT: This gives us a pretty good feel, and I'm going to have this transcript prepared and given to Judge Allen and Judge Keenan, and then we may have other items we may want to talk with you about, but I'll get proposals for -- what I started to say and I don't think I finished, as to item number one, I'd like for you to sort of sort out how you think the allocation of trial time ought to go.

I'm not quite so sure that 50/50 is the right way to go and I don't think hard and fast rules and counting minutes, but keeping time is going to be your responsibility with an effort to try to keep things under control, but make specific proposals and have them in our hands by Thursday, if you would, as to what you think.

If you find some agreement, great. If you don't, make your own proposals as to splitting of trial time, and then we'll deal with them at the final pretrial conference. That will be on the 29th, and I've issued an order saying what that room is, et cetera. All right, is there anything else you all need other than you want a copy of the transcript, I assume, of this; do you not?

MS. McKNIGHT: Yes.

1	
1	MR. HAMILTON: Yes, Your Honor.
2	MS. McKNIGHT: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
3	THE COURT: Anything else you all have? Nope.
4	MR. HAMILTON: Nothing from the plaintiffs, Your
5	Honor.
6	MS. McKNIGHT: Nothing from defendant intervenors,
7	Your Honor. Thank you.
8	THE COURT: Has she scored yet, Ms. McKnight?
9	MS. McKNIGHT: Yes, there was a goal scored.
10	THE COURT: You all take care. Thank you very much.
11	
12	(End of proceedings.)
13	
14	
15	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
16	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
17	
18	
19	
20	P. E. Peterson, RPR Date
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	